

# The importance of individualised approach to the treatment of T2DM



## Learning objectives

You will learn:

- To understand the need for a flexible and individualised approach to the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) as advocated by expert guidance
- To evaluate the latest pragmatic primary care trial data on the benefits of using a glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist (GLP-1RA) as first-added second-line therapy after metformin monotherapy
- To incorporate this practical evidence and the randomised clinical trial (RCT) data as a 'Call to Action' in primary clinical practice by introducing therapies with known cardiovascular and renal protective benefits earlier in the course of T2DM
- To develop this flexible approach with the involvement of patients who seek to avoid weight gain and other diabetes complications and to derive maximum benefit from an intensified approach to their diabetes control.

*There is increasing awareness globally of the need to individualise therapies for people with T2DM in everyday clinical practice*

## Introduction

There is increasing awareness globally of the need to individualise therapies for people with T2DM in everyday clinical practice. This patient-centred approach has been endorsed by expert guidance from organisations such as the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and the American Diabetes Association (ADA).

The 2017 South African guidelines also focused on the management of T2DM at primary care level, but currently place less emphasis on a patient-centred approach and the development of individual solutions to therapy.<sup>1</sup> They focus more on ensuring careful consideration of all clinical aspects of this chronic condition.



©Xxx/1001897



This report was made possible by an unrestricted educational grant from Novo Nordisk. The content of the report is independent of the sponsor.

## The therapeutic challenge of the continuum of clinical change

A common thread, however, in all expert guidance is the need to tailor treatment to the individual's developing course of his/her disease in order to maintain glycaemic control, reduce the risk of diabetes-related complications, and improve prognosis. The ongoing scenario of clinical change in diabetes was recently acknowledged by Dr Landi Lombard in a presentation of clinical case studies from his practice. He noted: "Just when we as clinicians think we have good glucose control in place, something happens and again we have to play catch-up."

It is this ongoing change that provides a stimulus to develop more flexible approaches that fit the patient who, in South Africa as in many parts of the world, is most likely to be treated by a general practitioner or at a local private or public sector clinic. In fact, 90 % or more of patients with T2DM will be treated in primary care.

Another feature of diabetes care is that a substantial proportion of patients using oral monotherapy have poor glycaemic control for many years before treatment is intensified.

As part of the very recently published DISCOVER study programme, South African patients participating in this study were assessed in respect of their HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels at the time of initiation of second-line therapy. More than 40 % of them had reached HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of  $\geq 9$  % before a second therapeutic agent was introduced.<sup>2</sup> This lack of clinical intervention is worse than the global experience, where some 75 % of patients were started on a second therapeutic agent much earlier when their HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels reached 8 %.

This lack of progression of therapy may, in part, be due to patient fears associated with treatment-related weight gain and hypoglycaemia, along with fears of the regimen becoming more complex. Clinical inertia may also be a factor in this slower-than-desired intensification of therapy. Some of that inertia may be due to lack of evidence from RCTs in the primary care setting that might help guide clinical decision-making.

*"Just when we as clinicians think we have good glucose control in place, something happens and again we have to play catch-up" – Dr Landi Lombard*

## Pragmatic primary care evidence to guide early therapy intensification

The first pragmatic randomised trial of early intensification of T2DM therapy using newer agents in primary care was completed in 2019 and explored everyday clinical outcomes that can be achieved in this setting with liraglutide, a GLP-1RA, added early after metformin monotherapy. The results of this multi-centre multi-country trial, known as the LIRA-PRIME trial, were presented at the 2020 ADA congress held virtually in June.

The trial aimed to bridge the gap between the results from the published series of RCTs using liraglutide which had proven its efficacy in reducing HbA<sub>1c</sub> and body weight, and the lack of data from clinical trials to show the same or similar expected results in everyday primary care practice.

The LIRA-PRIME trial compared the efficacy of liraglutide to oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) as the second-line addition to metformin in a multi-centre, randomised, two-arm, open label, active-controlled trial conducted in primary care.<sup>3</sup> It used less-restrictive inclusion criteria than those used in the LEAD trials, in which liraglutide showed clinically significant reductions in HbA<sub>1c</sub>,<sup>4-7</sup> along with weight loss and low risk of hypoglycaemia, and the LEADER trials showing a significant reduction in the risk of major cardiovascular events, all-cause mortality, and renal outcomes in T2DM patients at high cardiovascular risk.<sup>8,9</sup>

## Trial design of LIRA-PRIME

The patients included in LIRA-PRIME represented a broad patient population with clinical visits as would occur generally in a primary care setting; there were four initial visits at two, four, 16 and 26 weeks. Thereafter, visits took place quarterly. The main inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarised in Table 1.<sup>10</sup>

The primary endpoint of LIRA-PRIME was ‘time to reach inadequate glycaemic control’, defined as an HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 7% at two scheduled consecutive visits after the 26-week point of the trial.

Secondary and safety endpoints are summarised in Table 2.<sup>10</sup>

**Table 1. Main inclusion and exclusion criteria of LIRA-PRIME<sup>10</sup>**

Main inclusion criteria	Main exclusion criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Male or female ≥ 18 years old with T2DM diagnosis ≥ 90 days prior to screening</li> <li>• HbA<sub>1c</sub> 7.5-9% (both inclusive)</li> <li>• Stable dose of metformin monotherapy (≥ 1 500 mg or MTD) for ≥ 60 days prior to screening</li> <li>• Patients for whom liraglutide and OAD treatment are indicated according to approved local label descriptions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnancy or breastfeeding</li> <li>• Receipt of any investigational medicinal product ≥ 30 days before screening</li> <li>• Treatment with any diabetes medication, except metformin, ≥ 60 days before screening</li> <li>• Any disorder which, in the investigator’s opinion, might jeopardise the patient’s safety.</li> </ul>

*The primary endpoint of LIRA-PRIME was ‘time to reach an inadequate glycaemic control’, defined as an HbA<sub>1c</sub> > 7% at two scheduled consecutive visits after the 26-week point of the trial*

**Table 2. Key secondary and safety endpoints of LIRA-PRIME<sup>10</sup>**

Key secondary endpoints	Safety endpoints
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time to premature treatment discontinuation for any reason</li> <li>• Change from baseline to week 104 (or premature treatment discontinuation) in HbA<sub>1c</sub>, fasting plasma glucose, body weight, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure</li> <li>• Patients who, at the end of the trial or at premature discontinuation, had achieved: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≤ 6.5%</li> <li>– HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≤ 7.0% without weight gain and/or hypoglycaemia.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incidence of hypoglycaemic episodes</li> <li>• Serious adverse events</li> <li>• Adverse events leading to permanent discontinuation of trial product.</li> </ul>

The baseline complications reflect the early nature of this second-line therapy trial, whether OAD or liraglutide was added, as

seen in the characteristics of the cohort of 1 997 patients included in the trial (Table 3).<sup>10</sup>

**Table 3. Baseline diabetes complications in the LIRA-PRIME cohort (N = 1 997)<sup>10</sup>**

Complication	% of population
Diabetic nephropathy	4.3
Diabetic neuropathy	15.0
Diabetic retinopathy	3.2
Macroangiopathy	3.1
Normal eGFR/mildly decreased	92.3

**EARN FREE CPD POINTS**

Join our CPD community at

[www.denovomedica.com](http://www.denovomedica.com)

and start to earn today!

## Results of LIRA-PRIME and implications for primary care practice

*The most significant result was that the time to loss of control was much longer using liraglutide versus OADs at 109 weeks vs 65 weeks, respectively*

*Importantly, as part of this trial, patients did not receive any additional motivation/support beyond normal pharmacy support in the form of timeous provision of medication. This is frequently a potential concern when extrapolating data from RCTs to everyday clinical practice*

The use of liraglutide<sup>10</sup> (an initiation dose of 0.6mg/day with subsequent dose escalation to 1.8mg daily) was compared to the addition of OADs (DPP-4 inhibitors, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, SGLT-2 inhibitors,

thiazolidinediones or sulphonylureas) plus metformin given at a clinically acceptable dosage level ( $\geq 1$  500mg or maximum tolerated dose). Table 4 shows the breakdown of second-line therapies given during the trial.

**Table 4. Treatment breakdown of therapies used in LIRA-PRIME**

	No. of patients	
Liraglutide	996	49.8 %
OADs	995	49.2 %
• SGLT-2 inhibitors	487	48 %
• DPP-4 inhibitors	398	40 %
• Sulphonylureas	110	11 %

The most significant result was that the time to loss of control was much longer using liraglutide versus OADs at 109 weeks vs 65 weeks, respectively. Premature treatment discontinuation also occurred later in patients

on liraglutide compared to OADs (80 vs 52 weeks). Rates of serious adverse events and hypoglycaemic episodes were similar, but more gastrointestinal side-effects were seen in the liraglutide group.

### Extended time in control with liraglutide versus OADs

The longer period of control achieved with liraglutide is reassuring to primary care practitioners who can confidently expect that control will be maintained for at least 100 weeks (approximately 8/9 months) after reaching target HbA<sub>1c</sub> on titrated doses of liraglutide. Interestingly, premature discontinuation was lower than with multiple OAD therapy.

Importantly, as part of this trial, patients did not receive any additional motivation/support beyond normal pharmacy support in the form of timeous provision of medication. This is frequently a potential concern when extrapolating data from RCTs to everyday clinical practice.

### Benefits of earlier use of a GLP-1RA (liraglutide)

The benefits of early and maintained glucose control, without hypoglycaemia and weight gain, were well recognised in the pivotal UKPDS trials; this is generally referred to as 'the legacy effect'.

The use of GLP-1RA therapy with an agent capable of achieving reductions in the risk of major cardiovascular events, all-cause mortality, and renal outcomes, provides additional reassurance to the primary care practitioner that he/she is prescribing therapies with established longer-term benefits.



## Key learnings

- Early introduction of GLP-1RAs is supported by many expert clinical guidelines
- In this first pragmatic primary care trial, liraglutide was shown to be acceptable and effective as an add-on therapy to metformin
- As expected from the RCTs of GLP-1RAs, the period of time within target on liraglutide therapy in primary care practice was longer than when the traditional therapeutic route of adding OADs to metformin was taken.

## NOW EARN FREE CPD POINTS



Click here to access and submit deNovo Medica's CPD modules

## EARN FREE CPD POINTS

Are you a member of Southern Africa's leading digital Continuing Professional Development website earning FREE CPD points with access to best practice content?

Only a few clicks and you can register to start earning today

Visit

[www.denovomedica.com](http://www.denovomedica.com)

For all Southern African healthcare professionals

**deNovo  
Medica**

Find us at



DeNovo Medica



@deNovoMedica



deNovo Medica

## References

[Click on reference to access the scientific article](#)

1. 2017 SEMDSA Guidelines for the management of type 2 diabetes. *JEMDSA* 2017; **22**(1); supplement 1: S1-S196.
2. Khunti K, Chen H, Cid-Ruzafa J, *et al.* Glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes initiating second-line therapy: Results from the global DISCOVER study programme. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2020; **22**(1): 266-278.
3. Unger J, Allison DC, Carlton M, *et al.* Trial design and baseline data for LIRA-PRIME: A randomised trial investigating the efficacy of liraglutide in controlling hypoglycaemia in type 2 diabetes in a primary care setting. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019; **21**(7): 1543-1550.
4. Garber A, Henry R, Ratner R, *et al.* Liraglutide versus glimepiride monotherapy for type 2 diabetes (LEAD-3 Mono): a randomised, 52-week, phase III, double-blind, parallel-treatment trial. *Lancet* 2009; **373**(9662): 473-481.
5. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, *et al.* Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomised, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). *Lancet* 2009; **374**: 39-47.
6. Zinman B, Gerich J, Buse JB, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of the human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide in combination with metformin and thiazolidinedione in patients with type 2 diabetes (LEAD-4 Met+TZD). *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**(7): 1224-1230.
7. Pratley RE, Nauck M, Bailey T, *et al.* Liraglutide versus sitagliptin for patients with type 2 diabetes who did not have adequate glycaemic control with metformin: a 26-week, randomised, parallel-group, open label trial. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 1447-1456.
8. Marso SP, Gilbert H, Daniels MD, *et al.* Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **375**: 311-322.
9. Mann JFE, Orsted DD, Brown-Frandsen K, *et al.* Liraglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2017; **377**: 839-848.
10. Unger J, Allison DC, Carlton M, *et al.* Trial design and baseline data for LIRA-PRIME: A randomized trial investigating the efficacy of liraglutide in controlling glycaemia in type 2 diabetes in a primary care setting. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019; **21**(7): 1543-1550.

This summary report was compiled for *deNovo Medica* by Julia Aalbers BSc (Hons)

### Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in the article are those of the presenters and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher or its sponsor. In all clinical instances, medical practitioners are referred to the product insert documentation as approved by relevant control authorities.

Published by

© 2020 deNovo Medica  
Reg: 2012/216456/07

70 Arlington Street, Everglen, Cape Town, 7550  
Tel: (021) 976 0485 | [info@denovomedica.com](mailto:info@denovomedica.com)